

Microscopic dynamics perspective on the relationship between Poisson's ratio and ductility of metallic glasses

K. L. Ngai,^{1,2,a)} Li-Min Wang,² Riping Liu,² and W. H. Wang³

¹Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ²State Key Lab of Metastable Materials Science and Technology, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao, Hebei 066004, People's Republic of China

³Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

(Received 4 November 2013; accepted 7 January 2014; published online 30 January 2014)

In metallic glasses a clear correlation had been established between plasticity or ductility with the Poisson's ratio $v_{Poisson}$ and alternatively the ratio of the elastic bulk modulus to the shear modulus, K/G. Such a correlation between these two macroscopic mechanical properties is intriguing and is challenging to explain from the dynamics on a microscopic level. A recent experimental study has found a connection of ductility to the secondary β -relaxation in metallic glasses. The strain rate and temperature dependencies of the ductile-brittle transition are similar to the reciprocal of the secondary β -relaxation time, τ_{β} . Moreover, metallic glass is more ductile if the relaxation strength of the β -relaxation is larger and τ_{β} is shorter. The findings indicate the β -relaxation is related to and instrumental for ductility. On the other hand, K/G or $v_{Poisson}$ is related to the effective Debye-Waller factor (i.e., the non-ergodicity parameter), f_0 , characterizing the dynamics of a structural unit inside a cage formed by other units, and manifested as the nearly constant loss shown in the frequency dependent susceptibility. We make the connection of f_0 to the non-exponentiality parameter n in the Kohlrausch stretched exponential correlation function of the structural α -relaxation function, $\phi(t) = \exp[-(\frac{t}{t_n})^{1-n}]$. This connection follows from the fact that both f_0 and *n* are determined by the inter-particle potential, and $1/f_0$ or $(1 - f_0)$ and *n* both increase with anharmonicity of the potential. A well tested result from the Coupling Model is used to show that τ_{β} is completely determined by τ_{α} and *n*. From the string of relations, (i) K/G or ν_{Poisson} with $1/f_0$ or $(1 - f_0)$, (ii) $1/f_0$ or $(1 - f_0)$ with *n*, and (iii) τ_{α} and *n* with τ_{β} , we arrive at the desired relation between *K/G* or ν_{Poisson} and τ_{β} . On combining this relation with that between ductility and τ_{β} , we have finally an explanation of the empirical correlation between ductility and the Poisson's ratio $\nu_{Poisson}$ or K/G based on microscopic dynamical properties. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4862822]

I. INTRODUCTION

The establishment of correlations between elastic constants and plastic deformation or ductility in metallic glasses of diverse compositions has been a major endeavor of recent years. The potential benefits of the correlations include better understanding of the plastic deformation and fracture mechanisms, the embrittlement by annealing, and in providing a strategy in the design of materials with improved performance in applications. The fact that (compressive) ductile metallic glasses tend to have a large Poisson's ratio v_{Poisson} or larger ratio of the elastic bulk modulus K to the shear modulus G was first reported in 1975 by Chen et al.¹ But it is not until some 30 years later that the correlation becomes well defined by the study of a variety of bulk metallic glasses with different compositions.^{2,3} The measured energy of fracture G_c (i.e., the energy required to create two new surfaces by fracture) of as-cast metallic glasses showed a clear correlation with the elastic modulus ratio K/G. Moreover, ductile and brittle bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) seem to be separated by values of *G/K* smaller or larger than 0.41–0.43, respectively, or equivalently with ν_{Poisson} larger or smaller than 0.31–0.32, respectively. The platinum-based glasses with high *K/G* have exceptionally high toughness and malleability⁴ also conforming well to the correlation.²

Annealing of metallic glasses is known to induce embrittlement, as demonstrated in Refs. 2 and 5 by the correlation of fracture energy G_c with the ratio K/G as the BMG Zr₄₁Ti₁₄Cu_{12.5}Ni₁₀Be_{22.5} (Vitreloy 1) is annealed up to 24 h at 623 K. The correlation turns out to be the same as that found when comparing different BMGs. The transition from ductility/plasticity to brittleness on annealing occurs at the same critical value of K/G as found in the ascast glasses of different compositions. These properties were demonstrated by putting all the data of the annealed Vitreloy 1 together with that of as-cast BMG in the same plot of G_c versus G/K.²

The correlation of ductility with K/G or ν_{Poisson} is supported and strengthened by data in other BMGs,^{6–9} and the collection of results from more references than cited here can be found in the review published in 2012,⁹ where more references on this subject are given. Thus, the correlation seems to be universally observed in BMGs, and deserves explanation.

a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: ngai@df.unipi.it

In parallel with the development of the correlation discussed above are the revelation from various experimental studies that the secondary or β -relaxation plays nontrivial roles in the observed dynamic properties of BMGs, and also its characteristic bear remarkable resemblance to that of the mechanically deformed BMGs. For dynamic properties, we can give the following experimental evidences. The β relaxation is instrumental for the crystallization of BMG at temperatures below the glass transition temperature T_g .¹⁰ The properties of the β -relaxation are closely correlated with the fragility,¹¹ and the degree of non-exponentiality¹² of the alloys in the supercooled liquid state. The diffusion motion of the smallest constituent atom in BMG has about the same activation energy as the β -relaxation.¹³ From experiments on mechanical deformation of BMG we have the relation to the β -relaxation from the following observations.

- (a) The activation of shear transformation zones (STZs) and β -relaxations in metallic glasses are directly related, with the activation energy of the β -relaxation nearly the same as the potential-energy barriers of STZs.¹⁴
- (b) The β-relaxation of La_{68.5}Ni₁₆Al₁₄Co_{1.5} follows similar time-temperature dependence as the activation of the structural units of plastic deformations and global plasticity. The ductile-brittle transition strain rate is comparable to the frequency, 1/τ_β, of the β-relaxation, and has the same Arrhenius temperature dependence.¹⁵ Plastic flow of BMG occurs through cooperative shearing of unstable STZs activated by shear stress.¹⁶ Thus, on combining this property with (a), the connection of β-relaxation to plasticity seems clear.
- (c) While $La_{685}Ni_{16}Al_{14}Co_{15}$ is ductile, other BMG such as Ce-based ones with similar T_g are brittle even at the test temperature of $0.92T_g$ and the strain rate of 10^{-4} s⁻¹.¹⁷ It is noteworthy that the β -relaxation of La_{68.5}Ni₁₆Al₁₄Co_{1.5} is fast and has large relaxation strength, by contrast the β -relaxation of Ce-based BMG is slow and with small relaxation strength. BMG having faster β -relaxation with larger amplitude can respond to deformation at higher rate, and naturally the BMG is more ductile. Such correlation between ductility and β relaxation had been found in glassy polymers.^{18–20} An example is the exceptionally ductile bisphenol-A polycarbonate (BPA-PC), which has a very fast and prominent β -relaxation. Tetramethyl BPA-PC (TMBPA-PC) having four additional methyl groups present on the two phenyl rings is very similar in structure to BPA-PC. The β -relaxation of TMBPA-PC is much slower and the polymer is less ductile than BPA-PC.
- (d) It was found that the STZ volumes of the six BMG systems increase with Poisson's ratio and BMG ductility.²¹

From the connection the β -relaxation has with ductilebrittle transition stated in (b) and ductility in (c), and with STZ stated in (a) and (d), the implication can be drawn that the β relaxation has impact on ductility and hence possible relation to Poisson's ratio ν_{Poisson} via the empirical correlation found between ductility and ν_{Poisson} .

In the sections to follow we shall show these two apparently universal correlations, ductility with K/G (or $v_{Poisson}$) and ductility with the β -relaxation, are related. Both are stemming from the general physics of the dynamics of many-body interacting systems including BMG as a special case. Thus, the two phenomena are linked and can be explained simultaneously by means of the Coupling Model (CM),²²⁻²⁵ which was constructed to deal with the dynamics of many-body interacting systems. First we shall follow previous work²⁶ to relate the K/G (or $\nu_{Poisson}$) to the effective Debye-Waller factor, f_0 , of the glass. In the context of Mode Coupling Theory (MCT), f_0 is referred to as the non-ergodicity parameter.²⁷ However, for f_0 we shall continue to use the term, effective Debye-Waller factor, since the present paper has no direct connection to MCT. Next we show anharmoncity of the inter-particle potential determines simultaneously f_0 and the degree of non-exponentiality of the structural α -relaxation represented by the coupling parameter, n, of the Coupling Model.^{22–25} Combining the relation between K/G (or $\nu_{Poisson}$) and f_0 on the one hand and the relation between f_0 and n on the other hand, we can see that K/G (or v_{Poisson}) is related to or determined by n. Moreover, in general, glass-formers with larger *n* have shorter τ_{β} ,^{25,28} shown recently also to be the case for BMG.^{12,29} We have seen from property (b) that the β -relaxation is responsible for the ductile-brittle transition. Glasses are more ductile if the β -relaxation has its relaxation time τ_{β} shorter and its strength Δ_{β} stronger because this favorable condition renders the β -relaxation more facile to respond to deformation at a higher rate without brittle failure. From this direct and transparent relation between ductility and τ_{β} , together with the dependence of τ_{β} on *n* and the relation established between n and K/G (or $v_{Poisson}$), we have finally arrived at a microscopic explanation of the empirical correlation between ductility and K/G (or $v_{Poisson}$).

II. RELATING K/G (OR $v_{Poisson}$) TO THE EFFECTIVE DEBYE-WALLER FACTOR f_0

This task has essentially been done by Novikov and Sokolov.²⁶ Here we supply the steps in obtaining the relation between K/G (or $v_{Poisson}$) to the effective Debye-Waller factor f_0 , not given in Ref. 26. We start from the normalized density correlation function, $\phi_q(t) = \langle \rho_q(t) \rho_q(0) \rangle / S_q$, where ρ_q denotes density fluctuations at wave vector q, and $S_q = \langle (\rho_q)^2 \rangle$ is the static structure factor.²⁷ The decay of $\phi_q(t)$ occurs first by vibrations and then levels off to a plateau value, f_q , at longer times, but is terminated eventually by the onset of relaxation process, β or α .³⁰ Sound waves are determined by the density of the glass and the elastic modulus.³¹ Longitudinal sound velocity v is determined from the longitudinal modulus $M_L(\omega)$ and density ρ by $v = \sqrt{M_L/\rho}$. It is directly related to the long wavelength limit of the dynamical structure factor, $S(q,\omega)$.^{26,27} The last quantity is the Fourier transform of $\langle \rho_a(t)\rho_a(0)\rangle$, and it can be expressed in terms of $M_L(\omega)$. Further development given in Ref. 26 leads to the following expression for the zero wave-vector Debye-Waller factor,

$$f_0 = 1 - \frac{v_0^2}{v_\infty^2} = 1 - \frac{M_0}{M_\infty},\tag{1}$$

where v_0 (M_0) and v_∞ (M_∞) are the zero and infinite frequency longitudinal sound velocity (modulus), respectively.

Using the identity, $M = K + (\frac{4}{3}) G$, the expression for f_0 can be rewritten as

$$f_0 = 1 - [K_0 + (4/3)G_0] / [K_\infty + (4/3)G_\infty].$$
(2)

From here we follow Ref. 26 in making approximations in the glassy state by putting the zero frequency shear modulus $G_0 = 0$, and neglecting the softening of the bulk modulus on decreasing frequency, i.e., putting $K_0 \cong K_\infty$. The final result on the relation between f_0 and K_∞/G_∞ is given by

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{K_\infty}{G_\infty}\right) + 1}.$$
(3)

Thus, BMG with larger *K/G* has a smaller effective Debye-Waller factor f_0 . In other words, *K/G* correlates with $1/f_0$, and also ν_{Poisson} with $1/f_0$, because $\nu_{Poisson} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{(6K_{\infty}/G_{\infty})+2}$.

III. $1/f_0$ OR $(1 - f_0)$ CORRELATES WITH n

We shall use molecular dynamics simulations as well as experimental data to support the correlation of $1/f_0$ or $(1 - f_0)$ with non-exponentiality of the structural α -relaxation. The origin of this correlation can be traced back to both quantities are parallel consequences of the many-body dynamics governed by anharmonicity of the inter-particle potential. By the term non-exponentiality we mean the degree of departure of the correlation from linear exponential relaxation, and is measured by the parameter *n* appearing in the Kohlrausch stretched exponential function,

$$\phi(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{1-n}].$$
 (4)

Equation (4) is commonly used to fit the time dependence of the structural α -relaxation.

Molecular dynamics simulations of binary Lennard-Jones (LJ) systems consisting of two kinds of particles A and B were performed^{32,33} in which the potential, V(r), was varied systematically to increase its anharmonicity and to observe the change in the dynamics. Three different LJ potentials

$$V(r) = \frac{E_0}{(q-p)} [p(r_0/r)^q - q(r_0/r)^p]$$
(5)

with (q = 8, p = 5), (q = 12, p = 6), and (q = 12, p = 11)were studied. The well-depth and the location of the minimum of V(r) are the same for all three potentials. The (q = 12,p = 11) LJ potential is more harmonic than the classical (q = 12, p = 6) LJ potential, while the (q = 8, p = 5) LJ potential is a flat well and exceedingly anharmonic. The models using the (q = 12, p = 11), (q = 12, p = 6) and (q = 8, p = 5)potentials were referred to, in order of increasing anharmonicity, as Model I, Model II, and Model III, respectively. The self-intermediate scattering function, $F_s(Q_0,t)$ for the A particles were calculated for $Q_0 = 2\pi/r_0$, where r_0 is the position of the maximum of the first peak of the static A-A pair correlation function. The $F_s(Q_0,t)$ exhibit a two-step decay separated by a plateau with height $f(Q_0,T)$, which is the effective Debye-Waller factor at wave vector Q_0 . At the end of plateau, the decay of $F_s(Q_0,t)$ at temperature T down to zero is approximately described by $F_s(Q,t) = f(Q_0,T)\exp[-(t/\tau_\alpha)^{1-n}]$. The β -relaxation was not resolved in these simulations possibly because it has weak strength and may have merged with the α -relaxation. To compare the dynamics of I, II, and III, temperature in each case is scaled by T_{ref} at which τ_{α} is equal to an arbitrarily chosen long time. The results of the simulation essential to the present paper can be summarized as follows. For all T/T_{ref} , increasing anharmonicity of the interparticle potential is accompanied by (i) increasing nonexponentiality of the α -relaxation or the coupling parameter $n(T/T_{ref})$ of the Coupling Model,^{22–25} (ii) decreasing effective Debye-Waller factor $f(Q_0, T/T_{ref})$ or equivalently increasing value of $1/f(Q_0, T/T_{ref})$ or $(1 - f_0)$, (iii) increasing steepness index or fragility parameter, m.³⁴ Thus, correlations were established between four quantities, anharmonicity, n, $1/f(Q_0,T)$, and m, in the supercooled liquid state. In the glassy state where $f(Q_0,T)$ is contributed entirely from vibrations, the procedure of Scopigno et al.35 had been used to obtain the zero wave vector limit of $f(Q \rightarrow 0, T/T_{ref}) = f_0(T/T_{ref})$. The results of the simulations again show $1/f_0(T/T_{ref})$ is the largest for case III, intermediate for case II, and the smallest for case I. Hence, we have in the glassy state as well the correlations between anharmonicity, n, $1/f_0(T/T_{ref})$ or $(1 - f_0)$, and m.^{33,34}

The increase of *n* with anharmonicity of the interparticle potential found by simulations is consistent with the expectation from the CM based on nonlinear Hamiltonian dynamics (i.e., classical chaos) of systems governed by anharmonic interaction potential.^{22–25} Exact solutions of simplified model systems have demonstrated the increase of *n* with anharmonicity or nonlinearity of the potential.^{23,24}

At the plateau level $f(Q \rightarrow 0,T) = f_0(T)$, where $F_s(Q \rightarrow 0,t)$ decreases very slowly with time and the corresponding mean square displacement $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ increases in proportional to a power law, t^c , with $c \ll 1.25,30$ In this regime, all particles are mutually caged via the anharmonic potential. By Fourier transforming $F_s(Q \rightarrow 0,t)$ to frequency space, the plateau becomes the imaginary part of the complex susceptibility $\chi''(\omega,T) = A(T)\omega^{-c}$ with $c \ll 1$ and the strength A(T) weakly increases with temperature. This $\chi''(\omega,T)$ is often called the nearly constant loss (NCL) because $c \ll 1$. Since the NCL originates from particles mutually caged via the anharmonic inter-particle potential, we are led to expect that more anharmonic potential will give rise to larger NCL. Larger value of $1/f_0(T)$ or $(1 - f_0)$ corresponds to higher NCL or larger A(T)because the magnitudes of both are governed the potential. This together with the expected increase of NCL with anharmonicity of the potential leads us to the result that larger value of $1/f_0(T)$ is associated with the more anharmonic potential. Combining this result with the already established correlation of anharmonicity with n from the simulations and the CM, we arrive at the correlation between n and $1/f_0(T)$ via the NCL by a different route.

Experimentally, NCL is found in all glass-formers at low enough temperature and/or higher frequencies by the dielectric loss spectroscopy,^{25,36,37} and also by the mean square displacement, $\langle r^2 \rangle$ observed at temperatures below T_g in quasielastic neutron scattering experiments.^{30,38} For metallic glasses, the presence of NCL was demonstrated by the weak temperature dependence of the isochronal shear mechanical loss, G''(T), at low temperatures.^{39–42} Larger NCL or A(T) is found in many glass-formers with larger *n* from dielectric loss data,³⁶ and from neutron scattering spectroscopy.⁴² These many examples from experiments of correlation between NCL and $1/f_0(T)$ or $(1 - f_0)$ provide plenty of support of the correlation between $1/f_0(T)$ or $(1 - f_0)$ and *n*.

In passing we mention other correlations given by other workers^{43–47} that are somewhat related to those discussed in the above.

IV. CORRELATION OF $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$ WITH *n* FOR FIXED τ_{α}

At any fixed value of τ_{α} , it has been verified repeatedly by experiments in different classes of glass-formers and simulations that τ_{β} of a special kind of secondary relaxation^{25,28,48} is shorter for glass-former with larger *n*. Examples showing this property, plus more remarkable relations between τ_{β} and τ_{α} no need to mention in this paper, can be found in a perspective⁴⁹ and in the book.²⁵ This special kind of secondary relaxation is often referred to as the Johari-Goldstein β -relaxation with the purpose of distinguishing it from other secondary relaxations which bear no relation to the α -relaxation. Metallic glasses have only one secondary relaxation either resolved or hidden under the high frequency flank of the α -loss peak. Hence, it is the special kind of secondary relaxation, and the correlation of $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$ with *n* for fixed τ_{α} was indeed found in metallic glasses.²⁹

V. CORRELATION OF DUCTILITY OR *K*/*G* (OR $v_{Poisson}$) WITH *n*, AND WITH $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$ FOR A FIXED VALUE OF τ_{α}

In the Introduction, we have mentioned an experiment demonstrating the connection between the β -relaxation and the activation of the structural units of plastic deformations and global plasticity.¹⁵ The experiment was performed on La_{68.5}Ni₁₆Al₁₄Co_{1.5} which shows unusually short τ_{β} or large $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$, and also high macroscopic tensile plasticity. The ductile-brittle transition in tension follows similar timetemperature scaling relationship of the activation of the β relaxation with energy E_{β} . The results obviously have the strong implications that the activation of the β -relaxation is responsible for the remarkable deformability observed in this BMG. That is, the more facile the β -relaxation, or shorter τ_{β} for a fixed value of τ_{α} , more ductile is the BMG at the same strain rate of deformation. This finding by itself is sufficient to support the correlation of τ_{β} with ductility.

If dynamic mechanical experimental data of *n* and/or τ_{β} were available together with *K/G* (or ν) or measure of ductility for the same BMG, the correlation between these two quantities or lack of it can be checked. Despite *K/G* (or ν_{Poisson}) have been determined for a large number of BMG, dynamic mechanical data of *n*, τ_{β} , and the ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$ for a fixed value of τ_{α} for the same BMG are few. In some cases, the best one can find are data of *K/G* (or ν_{Poisson}) and *n* or $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$ in two BMG with nearly the same chemical composition. An example is La₇₀Ni₁₅Al₁₅ which is slightly different from La_{68.5}Ni₁₆Al₁₄Co_{1.5} discussed in the above. If we can treat the two BMG as equivalent in properties, then the correlation of high tensile plasticity from La_{68.5}Ni₁₆Al₁₄Co_{1.5} with very large $n = 0.58 \pm 0.04$, and very

short $\tau_{\beta}(T_g) = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ s for a fixed value of $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 10^3$ s from La₇₀Ni₁₅Al₁₅^{12,29} is obtained. It is fortunate that for Pd₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀, and Zr_{46.75}Ti_{8.25}Cu_{7.5}Ni₁₀Be_{27.5} (VIT4), all the parameters, *K/G*, ν_{Poisson} , *n*, and $\tau_{\beta}(T_g)$ all are known. For Pd₄₀Ni₄₀P₂₀, we have *K/G* = 4.79, $\nu = 0.403$,⁹ n = 0.50, and $\tau_{\beta}(T_g) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ s,^{12,29} while for VIT4, *K/G* = 3.1, $\nu_{\text{Poisson}} = 0.356$,⁹ n = 0.43, and $\tau_{\beta}(T_g) = 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ s.^{12,29} Both are ductile BMG like La₇₀Ni₁₅Al₁₅, and the parameters of the three BMG support the correlation of *K/G* and ν_{Poisson} with *n* and $1/\tau_{\beta}(T_g)$.

The pair, $Ce_{68}Al_{10}Cu_{20}Nb_2$ and $Ce_{70}Al_{10}Cu_{20}$, have slight difference in composition by being with and without the small amount of Nb. They have mechanical properties in stark contrast to the ductile BMG discussed above. The Ce-based BMG with comparable T_g as the La-based BMG are brittle even under the test temperature of $0.92T_g$ and the strain rate of 10^{-4} s^{-1} .^{17,50} Obeying the correlation between ductility and elastic constants, brittle $Ce_{68}Al_{10}Cu_{20}Nb_2$ has the small values of K/G = 2.5 and $\nu_{\text{Poisson}} = 0.32$.⁹ The dynamic mechanical relaxation study of $Ce_{70}Al_{10}Cu_{20}$ yields a small value of n = 0.20, and long $\tau_{\beta}(T_g)$ estimated to be about 1 s.⁵¹ If we can treat the pair of Ce-based BMG as one and the same material, the smaller values of K/G = 2.5 and $\nu_{\text{Poisson}} = 0.32$ correlates with small values of n = 0.20, and long $\tau_{\beta}(T_g) \approx 1$ s.

X-ray photon correlation spectroscopy was employed to investigate the structural relaxation process in the metallic glass, Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Y₁₀,⁵² on the atomic length scale. In the supercooled liquid state, the Kohlrausch stretched exponential fits to the correlation function yield (1 - n) = 0.88, or n = 0.12. The Poisson's ratio of this metallic glass is 0.33.⁹ The elastic constant have been determined for a similar metallic glass, Mg₅₈Cu₃₀Y₁₂, from which we have K/G = 2.4 and $\nu_{Poisson} = 0.32$. By treating these two Mg-metallic glasses as the same one, the smaller values of K/G and $\nu_{Poisson}$ correlate with the small value of n. The closely related metallic glass, Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Gd₁₀ has K/G = 2.34 and $\nu_{Poisson} = 0.313$, and Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Tb₁₀ has K/G = 2.28 and $\nu_{Poisson} = 0.309$.⁹ The values of the elastic constants are about the same for all three Mg-based metallic glasses.

Finally, by considering the data of the Ce- and Mg-BMG in conjunction with the ductile $Zr_{46.75}Ti_{8.25}Cu_{7.5}Ni_{10}Be_{27.5}$ (VIT4), $Pd_{40}Ni_{40}P_{20}$, and $La_{70}Ni_{15}Al_{15}$, the correlation of *K/G* (or $\nu_{Poisson}$) with *n* or $1/\tau_{\beta}(T_{g})$ is strengthened.

VI. CORRELATION OF DUCTILITY WITH RELAXATION STRENGTH Δ_{β} OF THE β -RELAXATION ON ANNEALING

It is mentioned in the Introduction that the BMG becomes less ductile or more brittle by annealing, as demonstrated by the correlation of fracture energy G_c with ratio K/G as $Zr_{41}Ti_{14}Cu_{12.5}Ni_{10}Be_{22.5}$ (Vitreloy 1) is annealed up to 24 h at 623 K.² Another study is the change in elastic and vibrational properties of $Zr_{46.75}Ti_{8.25}Cu_{7.5}Ni_{10}Be_{27.5}$ (Vit4) with annealing time at 523 \pm 0.1 K which is 127 K below its T_g .⁵³ The Poisson's ratio $v_{Poisson}$ decreased with annealing time, although the change is only 1% probably due to low annealing temperature.

Annealing study by isochronal dynamic mechanical spectroscopy at 1 Hz at 423 K of La₆₀Ni₁₅Al₂₅ showed, on increasing annealing time up to 24 h, not only the α -relaxation shifts to higher temperatures as expected but also the β -relaxation, and the relaxation strength of the latter, Δ_{β} , decreases monotonically.⁵⁴ The behavior of the β -relaxation on annealing was found in other metallic glasses,⁹ as well as in polymeric,^{55–58} and small molecular glass-formers.^{59–61} Combining this change of the β -relaxation property on annealing with that of ductility (from fracture energy G_c), K/G, and Poisson's ratio ν_{Poisson} , the existence of the correlation Δ_{β} and τ_{β} of the β -relaxation with ductility, K/G, and ν_{Poisson} on annealing is clear.

VII. CORRELATION OF POISSON'S RATIO WITH PACKING DENSITY

It has been pointed out in reviews^{9,62} that atomic packing density is connected to elastic constants and the Poisson's ratio ν_{Poisson} for materials in general. Among bulk metallic glasses, the gold and platinum-based ones have the highest packing density and largest ν_{Poisson} . This correlation between ν_{Poisson} and packing density can be understood from the fact that higher packing density means stronger interatomic interaction, and hence larger coupling parameter *n* in the Coupling Model. Thus, the correlation between packing density and ν_{Poisson} implies the correlation between *n* and ν_{Poisson} , and vice versa.

VIII. DERIVATION OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DUCTILITY AND K/G (OR $v_{Poisson}$)

The main thrust and goal of this paper is to understand from the more basic and microscopic dynamic properties of metallic glasses the reason why there is a correlation between the macroscopic properties of ductility/plasticity and the ratio *K/G* or the Poisson's ratio ν_{Poisson} . The observation of the ductile-brittle transition follows similar time-temperature scaling relationship of the activation of the β -relaxation has been elaborated in Sec. V. This remarkable correlation obviously indicates that the activation of the β -relaxation is responsible for the ductility of BMG. Moreover, by comparing the dynamic properties of the ductile La-, Zr-, and Pd-BMG with the brittle Ce-BMG and Mg-BMG, established is the correlation of K/G, ν_{Poisson} , and ductility with the non-exponentiality parameter of the structural α -relaxation, n, and the ratio, $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$, for fixed value of τ_{α} . The reduction of ductility on annealing is accompanied by shift of τ_{β} to longer times and decrease of the relaxation strength, Δ_{β} , discussed in Sec. VI. Thus, these correlations between ductility, a macroscopic nonlinear mechanical property, and the microscopic α - and β -relaxation properties, constitute the first step towards the goal of relating ductility to K/G and the Poisson's ratio ν_{Poisson} via microscopic considerations.

Besides Secs. V and VI, other correlations have been established in Secs. II-IV between the parameters selected from the inter-particle potential (anharmonicity), the reciprocal or the complement of the effective Debye-Waller factor, $1/f_0(T)$ and $(1 - f_0)$, respectively, the non-exponentiality parameter of the α -relaxation (*n*), the ratio ($\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$) for fixed value of τ_{α} , the reciprocal of the strength of the β -relaxation $1/\Delta_{\beta}$, and *K/G* or ν_{Poisson} . We now utilize the totality of the correlations in Secs. II–VI to link ductility together with K/Gor v_{Poisson} via the microscopic dynamic properties, and hence derive the correlation between the elastic constants and ductility. One way to demonstrate this link is by the construction of Table I, where the various correlations are shown. The link can be gleaned from the connectivity of the entries in a row to the one below that enables ductility to reach K/G or v_{Poisson} by steps like descending on a staircase. All the steps traversed are microscopic quantities or properties, and hence the explanation of the correlation between ductility and K/G or $v_{Poisson}$ given is based on microscopic dynamics of metallic glasses.

IX. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The empirical correlation between ductility and K/G or ν_{Poisson} involving two macroscopic mechanical properties of BMG seems to be universal. If the origin of the correlation is understood based on microscopic dynamic properties, the link of Poisson's ratio to basic physics governing the properties of BMG can be gained. The correlation also can be used to guide the fabrication of new BMG with improved plasticity for applications. Effort in this direction undertaken in

TABLE I. The various correlations from Section II to Section VI are entered in rows. They are arranged in such a way to connect *ductility* (italic at the top left corner) to the elastic constant, K/G or ν , (italic at the bottom right side) through their individual correlation with microscopic dynamic properties (in bold). Like walking down a staircase, one can start from ductility to reach K/G or ν by steps all linked to microscopic dynamic properties. In this way, the correlation between ductility and the elastic constants is given an explanation based on microscopic dynamics of the BMG. The word "anharmonicity" is shortened to "AH" in the table. For a more transparent presentation of the same data, see Table 1 in Ref. 29.

Ductility							Property	Source
Ductility	$ au_{eta}, E_{eta}$						From the ductile-brittle transition vs. β -relaxation	Ref. 15
	Δ_{β}						From annealing	Refs. 9 and 49
Ductility	,	$\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$	n			K/G	Ductile La-, Zr-, and Pd-BMG vs. brittle Ce- and Mg-BMG	Refs. 9 and 12
						ν		
		$\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$	n	AH			From the Coupling Model	Refs. 12 and 22-25
			n	AH	$1/f_0$ or $(1 - f_0)$		From simulations	Refs. 32, 33, 30, 37, and 38
			n	AH	NCL		From experiments	
					$1/f_0$ or $(1 - f_0)$	K/G	From Eq. (3)	Ref. 26
						ν		

the past is limited to exploring another correlation of K/G or $v_{\text{Poisson}}^{28,63}$ with *m*, as well as the fracture energy G_c with m,⁶² the steepness index or fragility.^{34,64} The latter is derived from the temperature dependence of viscosity η data in the equilibrium liquid state by

$$m = d \log \eta / d(T_{ref}/T)|_{T=T_{ref}},$$
(6)

where T_{ref} is usually defined as the temperature at which $\eta = 10^{11}$ or 10^{12} Pa s. Although the correlation between K/G or ν_{Poisson} and m is interesting and theoretical rationalization has been given,⁶⁵ it comes short of explaining the correlation between ductility and K/G or ν_{Poisson} . This shortcoming seems natural because there is no reason to expect m, an equilibrium liquid state property, to have direct and straightforward connection with either ductility or ν_{Poisson} , which are properties of the glassy state.

Also the correlation runs into problem when considering the change of both quantities in the same glass-former on either isothermal annealing or on slow heating as shown by Johari.⁶⁶ As a glass structurally relaxes on either isothermal annealing or on slow heating and its state corresponds to a higher value of the ratio of the activation energy to temperature, but both K/G and $v_{Poisson}$ decrease. Johari pointed out that this is the opposite of a correlation between K/G and E_a/T_g or m that has been obtained by combining the data of different accuracy for chemically different liquids. We have a simpler argument to show the limitation in understanding the correlation between K/G or $v_{Poisson}$ and ductility. Already shown by Lewandowski et al.² annealing induces embrittlement, as shown by the monotonic decrease of G_c (or ductility) which is accompanied by a corresponding decrease of K/Gor v_{Poisson} . That is G_c and K/G or v_{Poisson} remain correlated as the annealing progresses. Moreover, the correlation is the same as that found when comparing different BMG. However, m is a characteristic of the equilibrium liquid state and it is the same independent of the duration of annealing, and naturally *m* stops correlating with the decrease of G_c and K/G on annealing.

Changes of m and K/G or $v_{Poisson}$ on progressively varying slightly the composition from Cu₅₀Zr₅₀ $(Cu_{50}Zr_{50})_{96}Al_4$, and to $(Cu_{50}Zr_{50})_{90}Al_7Gd_3$ are to interesting.^{9,67,68} In the order of introducing the three BMG here, it was found that m decreases from 62 to 40, and to 30, while ν_{Poisson} increases from 0.395 to 0.369, and to 0.373. The trends of the changes of *m* and v_{Poisson} are opposite to the correlation between m and v_{Poisson} obtained by combining the data of different accuracy for chemically different BMG. There are other examples of violations of the correlation between m and $v_{Poisson}$ worthwhile pointing out. For example, the brittle Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Y₁₀ has m = 41,⁶⁸ but *K/G* = 2.3, and $v_{\text{Poisson}} = 0.305$ as given in Table 13 of Ref. 9. The similar Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Tb₁₀ has K/G = 2.3, $\nu_{\text{Poisson}} = 0.309$, and $G_c = 0.07 \text{ kJ/m}^{2.9}$ On the other hand, the more ductile $Zr_{46.75}Ti_{8.25}Cu_{7.5}Ni_{10}Be_{27.5}$ (Vit4) has larger K/G = 3, and $\nu_{\text{Poisson}} = 0.35$, and yet its m = 38 from Refs. 9 and 69 and m = 44 from Refs. 9 and 70 are comparable to m =41 of Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Y₁₀. The fragility index m of Mg₆₅Cu₂₅Y₁₀ is nearly the same or even larger than Vit4. This can be objectively deduced from the plot of $\log \eta$ vs. T_g/T of the two BMG in Fig. 10 of Ref. 71.

Our explanation of the correlation between ductility and K/G or ν_{Poisson} takes a different route. Ductility is linked to the secondary β -relaxation in the glassy state, and its relaxation time τ_{β} and strength is governed by the non-exponentiality parameter *n* of the structural α -relaxation and its relaxation time τ_{α} .^{28,48,49} On the other end, K/G or ν_{Poisson} is linked to the effective Debye-Waller factor (or non-ergodicity parameter) f_0 , which in turn is related to *n* since both quantities are determined by the anharmonicity of the inter-particle potential. From the links that ductility and ν_{Poisson} separately have with the parameters of the dynamics, the correlation found empirically between them is given an explanation based on microscopic considerations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work performed by Li-Min Wang and Riping Liu at the State Key Lab of Metastable Materials Science and Technology, Yanshan University, was supported by the NSF of China (Grant Nos. 51131002, 51271160, and 51071138), and by W. H. Wang at the Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, by the NSF of China (Grant Nos. 51271195 and 11274353). We thank Professor V. N. Novikov for stimulating discussion on the relation between the effective Debye-Waller factor and elastic constants.

- ¹H. S. Chen, J. T. Krause, and E. Colemen, J Non-Cryst. Solids **18**, 157 (1975).
- ²J. J. Lewandowski, W. H. Wang, and A. L. Greer, Philos. Mag. Lett. **85**, 77 (2005).
- ³W. H. Wang, J. Appl. Phys. 99, 093506 (2006).
- ⁴J. Schroers and W. L. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 255506 (2004).
- ⁵W. H. Wang, R. J. Wang, W. T. Yang, B. C. Wei, P. Wen, D. Q. Zhao, and M. X. Pan, J. Mater. Res. 17, 1385 (2002).
- ⁶X. J. Gu, A. G. McDermott, S. J. Poon, and G. J. Shiflet, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 211905 (2006); X. J. Gu, S. J. Poon, G. J. Shiflet, and M. Widom, Acta Mater. 56, 88 (2008).
- ⁷P. Yu and H. Y. Bai, Mater. Sci. Eng., A 485, 1 (2008).
- ⁸A. Castellero, D. I. Uhlenhaut, B. Moser, and J. Loffler, Philos. Mag. Lett. 87, 383 (2007).
- ⁹W. H. Wang, Prog. Mater. Sci. 57, 487 (2012).
- ¹⁰T. Ichitsubo, E. Matsubara, T. Yamamoto, H. S. Chen, N. Nishiyama, J. Saida, and K. Anazawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 245501 (2005).
- ¹¹H. B. Yu, Z. Wang, W. H. Wang, H. Y. Bai, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **358**, 869 (2012).
- ¹²K. L. Ngai, Z. Wang, X. Q. Gao, H. B. Yu, and W. H. Wang, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 014502 (2013).
- ¹³H. B. Yu, K. Samwer, Y. Wu, and W. H. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 095508 (2012).
- ¹⁴H. B. Yu, W. H. Wang, H. Y. Bai, Y. Wu, and M. W. Chen, Phys. Rev. B 81, 220201 (2010).
- ¹⁵H. B. Yu, X. Shen, Z. Wang, L. Gu, W. H. Wang, and H. Y. Bai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 015504 (2012).
- ¹⁶A. S. Argon, Acta Metall. **27**, 47 (1979).
- ¹⁷B. Zhang, D. Q. Zhao, M. X. Pan, W. H. Wang, and A. L. Greer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 205502 (2005).
- ¹⁸A. F. Yee and S. A. Smith, Macromolecules **14**, 54 (1981).
- ¹⁹E. W. Fischer, G. P. Hellmann, H. W. Spiess, F. J. Horth, U. Ecarius, and M. Wehrle, Makromol. Chem. **12**, 189 (1985).
- ²⁰C. Xiao, J. Wu, L. Yang, A. F. Yee, L. Xie, D. Gidley, K. L. Ngai, and A. K. Rizos, Macromolecules **32**, 7913 (1999).
- ²¹D. Pan, A. Inoue, T. Sakurai, and M. W. Chen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105, 14769 (2008).
- ²²K. L. Ngai, Comments Solid State Phys. 9, 127 (1979).

- ²³K. Y. Tsang and K. L. Ngai, Phys. Rev. E 54, R3067 (1996); 56, R17 (1997).
- ²⁴K. L. Ngai and K. Y. Tsang, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 4511 (1999).
- ²⁵K. L. Ngai, *Relaxation and Diffusion in Complex Systems* (Springer, New York, 2011).
- ²⁶V. N. Novikov and A. P. Sokolov, Nature (London) 431, 961 (2004).
- ²⁷W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Rep. Prog. Phys. 55, 241 (1992).
- ²⁸K. L. Ngai, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 6982 (1998).
- ²⁹K. L. Ngai, Z. Wang, X. Q. Gao, H. B. Yu, and W. H. Wang, http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.7424 (2013).
- ³⁰K. L. Ngai, Philos. Mag. 84, 1341 (2004).
- ³¹T. A. Litovitz and C. M. Davis in *Physical Accoustics*, edited by W. P. Mason (Academic, New York, 1965), Vol. 11 A, pp. 282–349.
- ³²P. Bordat, F. Affouard, M. Descamps, and K. L. Ngai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 105502 (2004).
- ³³P. Bordat, F. Affouard, and M. Descamps, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 353, 3924 (2007).
- ³⁴R. Böhmer, K. L. Ngai, C. A. Angell, D. J. Plazek, J. Chem. Phys. **99**, 4201 (1993).
- ³⁵T. Scopigno, G. Ruocco, F. Sette, and G. Monaco, Science **302**, 849 (2003).
- ³⁶S. Capaccioli, M. Shahin Thayyil, and K. L. Ngai, J. Phys. Chem. B **112**, 16035 (2008).
- ³⁷K. L. Ngai, J. Habasaki, C. Léon, and A. Rivera, Z. Phys. Chem. **219**, 47 (2005).
- ³⁸K. L. Ngai, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **275**, 7 (2000).
- ³⁹J. M. Pelletier, B. Van de Mortéle, and I. R. Lu, Mater. Sci. Eng., A 336, 190 (2002).
- ⁴⁰P. Rösner, K. Samwer, and P. Lunkenheimer, Europhys. Lett. 68, 226 (2004).
- ⁴¹J. Hachenberg, and K. Samwer, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **352**, 5110 (2006).
- ⁴²K. L. Ngai, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **352**, 404 (2006).
- ⁴³U. Buchenau and R. Zorn, Europhys. Lett. 18, 523 (1992).
- ⁴⁴S. A. Rice, Adv. Chem. Phys. **137**, 196 (2008).
- ⁴⁵J. Rault, Eur. Phys. J. E **35**, 26 (2012).
- ⁴⁶M. Plazanet and H. Schober, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10, 5723 (2008).
- ⁴⁷C. M. Roland and K. L. Ngai, J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 2967 (1996).
- ⁴⁸K. L. Ngai and M. Paluch, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 857 (2004).
- ⁴⁹S. Capaccioli, M. Paluch, D. Prevosto, L.-M. Wang, and K. L. Ngai, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **3**, 735 (2012).
- ⁵⁰W. H. Wang, J. Appl. Phys. **110**, 053521 (2011).
- ⁵¹X. F. Liu, B. Zhang, P. Wen, and W. H. Wang, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 352, 4013 (2006).

- ⁵²B. Ruta, Y. Chushkin, G. Monaco, L. Cipelletti, E. Pineda, P. Bruna, V. M. Giordano, and M. Gonzalez-Silveira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 165701 (2012).
- ⁵³P. Wen, G. P. Johari, R. J. Wang, and W. H. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 224203 (2006).
- ⁵⁴Z. Wang, Ph.D. thesis, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, P. R. China 2012.
- ⁵⁵G. P. Johari, J. Chem. Phys. 77, 4619 (1982).
- ⁵⁶M. Beiner, F. Garwe, K. Schröter, and E. Donth, Polymer 35, 4127 (1994).
- ⁵⁷A. Alegría, L. Goitiandia, I. Telleria, and J. Colmenero, Macromolecules 30, 3881 (1997).
- ⁵⁸R. Casalini and C. M. Roland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 035701 (2009).
- ⁵⁹G. P. Johari, G. Power, and J. K. Vij, J. Chem. Phys. **117**, 1714 (2002).
- ⁶⁰D. Prevosto, S. Capaccioli, M. Lucchesi, P. A. Rolla, and K. L. Ngai, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 4808 (2004).
- ⁶¹P. Lunkenheimer, R. Wehn, U. Schneider, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 055702 (2005).
- ⁶²G. N. Greaves, A. L. Greer, R. S. Lakes, and T. Rouxel, Nat. Mater. **10**, 823 (2011).
- ⁶³See also S. N. Yannopoulos and G. P. Johari, Nature (London) 442, E7 (2006).
- ⁶⁴In considering correlation of a property or quantity with *m* of different glass-formers, it is important to strictly fix the value of $\eta(T_{ref})$. It is also important to have real data of η over a range of temperature near T_{ref} to obtain reliable value of *m*. Since metallic alloys tend to crystallize, viscosity data near T_{ref} of many BMG are not measured, and values of *m* are determined by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann fits to data obtained at higher temperatures. Such values of *m* are unreliable and have appeared in papers including that by T. Komatsu, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **185**, 199 (1995), and by J. H. Na *et al.*, J. Mater. Res. **23**, 523 (2008). In contrast, the values of *m* collected in the paper, O. N. Senkov, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 104202 (2007), are reliable because they are obtained from viscosity data taken over a range of temperature near T_{ref} .
- ⁶⁵T. Egami, Intermetallics 14, 882 (2006).
- ⁶⁶G. P. Johari, Philos. Mag. 86, 1567 (2006).
- ⁶⁷Y. Li, H. Y. Bai, W. H. Wang, and K. Samwer, Phys. Rev. B 74, 052201 (2006).
- ⁶⁸E. Pineda, P. Bruna, B. Ruta, M. Gonzalez-Silveira, and D. Crespo, Acta Mater. **61**, 3002 (2013).
- ⁶⁹P. Wen, D. Q. Zhao, M. X. Pan, W. H. Wang, Y. P. Huang, and M. L. Guo, Appl. Phys. Lett. **84**, 2790 (2004).
- ⁷⁰R. Brüning, and K. Samwer, Phys. Rev. B 46, 11318 (1992).
- ⁷¹R. Busch, W. Liu, and W. L. Johnson, J. Appl. Phys. 83, 4134 (1998).